Uttarakhand

Rank among 18 large and mid-sized states	Prison 17 th		Legal			Supported by EXTATIOUSTIC
	2 nd 5.88	enable compariso in a band of 1 to 10 each indicator, ag	n, we rebase 9. The line gra ainst the oth 19. better the	d values to phs show l er 17 large : state is do	score th how the and mid ing. 'Wo	rst value' and 'best
Budgets Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	State value 55	State score (out of 10)	Worst value 3	Best value 80	State rank 3	Officers made
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	1,146		498	1,666	4	up roughly 10% of the total police force.
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	7.2		53.0	-6.9	4	
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	14.8		62.6	-0.9	4	
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	10.4		8.6	27.5	15	
Diversity Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017) Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017) SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017) ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017) OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	7.7 8.9 79 131 86		2.5 1.5 32 0 18	12.9 19.7 120 172 169	7 3 5 1 3	Amongst diversity categories, exceeds its ST quota. Was unable to meet its other quotas.
Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	69,673	—— —	232,896	30,445	8	
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	59,791		240,608	32,881	8	
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	521		719	79	16	
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	18		71	8	8	
Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017) Trends	681		1,663	445	7	Over 5 years, constabulary vacancies
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.38	-	-0.65	1.33	15	decreased, while officer
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.54		-0.68	1.33	3	vacancies
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-2.95		2.35	-4.14	5	increased.
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.77		3.39	-4.53	12	
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-1.67		-6.11	6.04	6	

Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police.

PRISONS RANK IN CATEGORY 17th

SCORE (Out of 10)

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank	
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	31,905		14,683	41,849	7	- Extremely
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	87		77	99	13	high vacancies
Human Resources						across categories. One of 2 sanctioned correctional staff posts
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	68.1	•	70.1	-0.5	17	vacant. No
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	71.6	•	71.6	1.2	18	medical officers
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	50.0	—— —	100.0	0.0	10	for 4,200
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	72.5		85.6	0.0	16	inmates.
Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	100.0	•	100.0	0.0	18	
Diversity Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	6.6		2.3	18.7	12	Prisons
Infrastructure						functioned beyond capacity.
Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	124		190	66	10	
Workload						occupancy increased from 99% to 124%.
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	191		343	36	14	
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	18		27	5	15	
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	4,200	•	95,336	124	10	
Trends						
	010		701	7 / 5	0	🗂 Over 5 years,

Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.12	——	7.91	-3.45	8	- Over 5 years,
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	5.60	•	5.60	-7.26	16	cadre staff vacancies
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.24		-0.28	1.46	10	increased,
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	3.6	——	55.6	-9.7	11	resulting in
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	14.4	•	14.4	-6.8	16	an increase in
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.12	—	1.41	-0.77	7	workload.
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	25.6		1.2	65.3	2	
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.12		-2.28	4.00	8	
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	0.9	•	-21.8	26.3	1	

Data sources: Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Dec 2016' is as of December 31, 2016. 2. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 3. NA: Not available. 4. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year.

 JUDICIARY

 RANK IN CATEGORY

 SCORE (Out of 10)

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank	
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	130		52	201	5	
Human Resources						
Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	1,833,871		3,558,956	963,181	4	No women judges at
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	46,056		113,080	46,056	1	High Cour
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	40.5		59.8	26.1	8	level.
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	23.6		44.0	4.5	11	
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	30.2		34.9	5.5	13	
Diversity						
Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	0.0	•	0.0	19.6	13	
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	34.8		11.5	44.0	6	
Infrastructure						Poor case
Courthall shortfall (%, 2016-17, Mar 2018)	22.2		35.1	0.0	12	clearance in both co
Workload						
Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	9.01		24.04	0.99	6	
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	1.95		16.57	0.11	7	
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	1.7		4.3	1.7	1	
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	3.9		9.5	3.7	3	
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)	75		70	102	12	
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)	87	•	87	129	14	
Trends						Over 5 yea
Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	17.1	•	17.1	-8.5	15	largest reduction
Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	-2.9		6.1	-7.9	9	subordina
Total cases pending (High Court) (%, FY '13-'17)	10.3	•	10.3	-9.5	15	court judg
Total cases pending (sub court) (% EY '13-'17)	55	_	75	-27	14	vacancies

Data sources: Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; eCourts Services; Websites of High Courts; Approaches to Justice in India: A Report by DAKSH; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Application under Right to Information (RTI) Act filed by Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy; Open Budgets India; Department of Justice.

5.5

3.66

-4.57

-2.27

-1.97

-5.86

7.5

6.71

3.75

-4.84

-7.71

-12.59

-2.7

-1.66

-4.57

4.75

6.11

6.77

14

12

1

9

13

9

Notes: 1. Data for 'Aug 2018' is as of August 23, 2018; for 'Sep 2017' is as of September 19, 2017; for 'Aug 2017' is as of August 29, 2017. 2. Sub. court: subordinate court. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year.

Total cases pending (sub. court) (%, FY '13-'17)

Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)

Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

among large

states.


and mid-sized



HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The onger the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best ralue' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank	
NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	72	—	50	98	11	
State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	68		0	89	8	
Human Resources						
DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	0.0		34.8	0.0	1	
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	9.4	— —	1.6	13.8	3	
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	100		100	103	1	- 1 legal services clinic for 131
						villages.
Diversity						
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	16.0		7.4	40.4	8	
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	38.1		22.3	65.7	7	
Infrastructure						
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	100	•	83	100	1	
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	131.2		1,603.5	6.2	14	j
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	0.82		0.19	1.78	8	🗝 Lok Adalats
						unable to
Workload						deal with pre- litigation cases.
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	2		0	85	16	
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	11.7	-	7.4	92.1	17	J
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	0.0	•	0.0	93.8	18	

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB). Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).



About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit **www.tatatrusts.org** for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives