Rajasthan

Overall	Police	Prisons	Judiciary	Legal aid
14th	**17th**	**12th**	**8th**	**11th**

India Justice Report | 2019
Supported by **TATA TRUSTS**

POLICE

RANK IN CATEGORY **17th**

SCORE (Out of 10) **3.77**

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Budgets					
Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	3		3	80	15
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	595		498	1,666	13
Human Resources					
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	13.7		53.0	-6.9	8
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	30.3		62.6	8.2	13
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	11.3		8.6	27.5	13
Diversity					
Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017)	9.3		2.5	12.9	3
Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017)	4.9		1.5	19.7	8
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	68		32	120	11
ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	64		0	172	6
OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	27		18	169	14
Infrastructure					
Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	110,279		232,896	30,445	15
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	46,201		240,608	32,881	3
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	719		719	79	17
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	18		71	8	9
Workload					
Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017)	915		1,663	445	11
Trends					
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.37		-0.65	1.33	7
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.37		-0.68	1.14	7
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.27		2.35	-4.14	14
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.85		3.39	-4.53	8
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-5.92		-6.11	6.04	13

Ranked in the bottom half in presence of officers in civil police and officer vacancy.

The state was unable to meet any of its diversity quotas. At less than 10%, it had a higher share of women in police than most large and mid-sized states.

Despite a large rural population, police stations serve urban jurisdictions better.

PRISONS

RANK IN CATEGORY **12**th

SCORE (Out of 10) **4.72**

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	14,683		14,683	41,849	18
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	77		77	99	18

Lowest utilisation of prison budget. Over 5 years, the state's utilisation of its prison budget had declined.

Human Resources

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	59.6		70.1	-0.5	15
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	44.6		71.6	1.2	15
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	50.0		100.0	0.0	10
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	19.0		85.6	0.0	3
Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	2.7		100.0	0.0	2

Diversity

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	10.6		2.3	18.7	6

Nearly half the posts lay vacant across staff categories except medical officers and medical staff.

Infrastructure

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	102		190	66	4

Workload

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	162		343	36	12
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	10		27	5	9
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	5,091		95,336	124	11

Trends

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.21		7.91	-3.45	11
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.27		5.60	-7.26	10
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.86		-0.28	1.46	4
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	3.0		55.6	-9.7	9
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	-1.7		14.4	-6.8	5
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.41		1.41	-0.77	16
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	65.3		1.2	65.3	1
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	-2.28		-2.28	4.00	16
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-1.8		-21.8	26.3	4

Prisons are functioning to capacity. Over 5 years, highest increase in undertrial prisoner population amongst the large and mid-sized states.

JUDICIARY

RANK IN CATEGORY **8th**

SCORE (Out of 10) **5.04**

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	96		52	201	11

Human Resources

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	2,109,183		3,558,956	963,181	8
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	65,006		113,080	46,056	7
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	35.0		59.8	26.1	6
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	12.3		44.0	4.5	4
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	23.7		34.9	5.5	7

Very poor representation of women judges.

Diversity

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	6.1		0.0	19.6	11
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	26.5		11.5	44.0	13

Infrastructure

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Courthall shortfall (%, 2016-17, Mar 2018)	17.3		35.1	0.0	10

Workload

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	17.25		24.04	0.99	12
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	4.80		16.57	0.11	11
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	4.3		4.3	1.7	11
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	3.7		9.5	3.7	1
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)	96		70	102	3
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)	96		87	129	4

Amongst the large and mid-sized states, cases in the subordinate courts remained pending for the least number of years on average.

Trends

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	-6.2		17.1	-8.5	3
Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	-5.3		6.1	-7.9	6
Total cases pending (High Court) (%, FY '13-'17)	-1.9		10.3	-9.5	5
Total cases pending (sub. court) (%, FY '13-'17)	1.4		7.5	-2.7	10
Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-0.13		6.71	-1.66	3
Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-1.33		3.75	-4.57	3
Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-3.55		-4.84	4.75	13
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-2.31		-7.71	6.11	14
Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-12.59		-12.59	6.77	16

Over 5 years, at the High Court level, the cases pending per judge, total cases pending and judge vacancy reduced.

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 17 large and mid-sized states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	98		50	98	1
State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	71		0	89	7

Human Resources

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	0.0		34.8	0.0	1
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	6.1		1.6	13.8	8
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	100		100	103	1

Diversity

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	8.5		7.4	40.4	16
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	24.9		22.3	65.7	16

> Very poor representation of women among legal services providers.

Infrastructure

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	100		83	100	1
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	6.2		1,603.5	6.2	1
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	0.78		0.19	1.78	10

> On average, with only 6 villages per legal service clinic, state had best coverage among large and mid-sized states.

Workload

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	State rank
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	43		0	85	8
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	23.9		7.4	92.1	13
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	1.0		0.0	93.8	16

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB).
Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority.
Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).

About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit **www.tatatrusts.org** for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives