Nagaland*

* Not part of India Justice Report ranking 2019



POLICE

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	<u>Ct</u> :	Chata			
Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	- Far exceeded
Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	100	•	45	100	sanctioned
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	5,933	•	788	5,933	levels of
					constabulary.
Human Resources					Low officer vacancy.
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	-12.5	•	38.2	-12.5	
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	6.1	•	25.7	6.1	
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	10.0	•	10.0	22.3	
Diversity					
Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017)	6.3		3.0	8.1	 Unable to meet either gender
Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017)	7.7		2.4	7.7	or ST quotas.
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	NA		45	133	Less than 8%
ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	56		46	74	of officers were
OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	NA		19	108	women.
Infrastructure					
Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	24,694	•	132,708	24,694	
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	28,548		37,728	28,548	
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	287		1,842	287	
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	12		14	7	1
Workload					
Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017)	197		1,122	197	- On average,
	137		1,122	137	rural police
					stations cover an area 24 times
Trends					that of urban
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.05		0.01	1.07	areas.
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.28		-0.36	0.34	
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-2.51	•	2.22	-2.51	
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.67		1.21	-3.26	
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-1.69	•	-1.69	4.25	

Data sources: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India. Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the

difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. 7. SC/OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio: BPR&D shows no officers and 0% reservation.

PRISONS

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	_	_		_	
Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	70,218	•	27,902	70,218	
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	99	•	75	99	– Across the
					11 jails, low
					vacancies at officer and
Human Resources					cadre staff
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	5.0		53.2	5.0	levels. There
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	0.2	•	29.7	0.2	were no vacancies in
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	0.0	•	100.0	0.0	medical and
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	0.0	•	34.2	-1.6	correctional
Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	0.0	•	61.3	0.0	staff.
Diversity					
Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	22.9	•	9.2	22.9	
Infrastructure					
Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	28	•	100	28	Relatively high
					share of women
					among prison
Workload					staff. Over 5 years, however,
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	11	•	79	11	the average
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	1		13	1	representation
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	28	•	896	28	decreased.

Trends

Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.49		2.77	0.00	
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.05	•	1.66	0.00	
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.09		-0.16	0.38	Over 5 years,
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	-3.3	•	10.8	-3.3	officer and
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	-5.0	•	7.4	-5.0	cadre staff
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.42	-	2.73	-1.29	vacancies
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	5.6	•	5.6	28.0	increased.
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	-0.10	•	-1.82	-0.10	
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	3.4	•	-21.3	3.4	

Data sources: Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Dec 2016' is as of December 31, 2016. 2. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 3. NA: Not available. 4. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year.

JUDICIARY

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable

Budgets	State	State score	Worst	Best
	value	(out of 10)	value	value
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	144		61	144

Human Resources

Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	2,338,689	•	2,338,689	878,706	subordinate
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	80,755	—	100,098	57,397	court judges was a woman.
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	36.5		44.1	35.0	
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	22.8		26.5	11.2	
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	14.8	•	15.3	13.4	
Diversity					
Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	5.9	•	0.0	5.9	
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	19.5	-	18.6	37.9	.]

0.0

Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)

Infrastructure

Workload

Trends

Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)

Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)

Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)

Total cases pending (High Court) (%, FY '13-'17)

Total cases pending (sub. court) (%, FY '13-'17)

Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)

Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)

NA		16.6	6.78
NA		4.1	1.39
2.0		2.2	1.1
5.1		6.9	3.9
82	•	82	99
96		89	102

18.9

-4.4

-0.2

-4.6

0.5

3.54

0.71

-9.26

-7.91

-2.74

0.0

-14.0

-10.2

-8.8

-6.5

-3.75

-1.62

4.41

1.56

19.80

If the 22.8% vacancies of lower court judges were filled, each would have a courthall

available.

Nearly 1 in 5

Overall, over 5 years, in subordinate courts, cases pending per judge reduced marginally.

Data sources: Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; eCourts Services; Websites of High Courts; Approaches to Justice in India: A Report by DAKSH;
Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011;
Application under Dight to Information (DTI) Act filed by Vidbi Centre for Legal Doliny: Open Rudgets India: Department of Justice

-4.4

-0.2

-8.8

0.5

3.54

0.71

-4.16

-7.91

1.38


Notes: 1. Data for 'Aug 2018' is as of August 23, 2018; for 'Sep 2017' is as of September 19, 2017; for 'Aug 2017' is as of August 29, 2017. 2. Sub. court: subordinate court. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Since Assam, Mizoram, Nagaland and Arunachal Pradesh share a High Court, they have been assigned the same values for High Court indicators. 7. Cases pending (5-10 years) and (10+ years) (sub. court): data not available on NJDG.



HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18) 69 69 95 State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18) 9 0 58 Human Resources 0 00 00 0 DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019) 100.0 100.0 0.0 PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019) 8.3 2.6 31.6 Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019) 0 0 100 Diversity 0 0 100 100 Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 11.9 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18) 0 0 43	Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Human Resources DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019) 100.0 PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019) 8.3 2.6 31.6 Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019) 0 0 Diversity Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 15.7 173.8 15.7 1.00 0.35 1.00 Cood coverage by legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 Workload Cood coverage by legal services clinic services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00	NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	69	-	69	95	
Human Resources panel lawyers DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019) 100.0 0.0 PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019) 8.3 2.6 31.6 Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019) 0 0 100 Diversity Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 11.9 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Workload Good coverage by legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00	State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	9		0	58	
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019) 8.3 2.6 31.6 Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019) 0 0 100 Diversity Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 11.9 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics Sources clinics Sources clinics	Human Resources					panel lawyers
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019) 0 0 100 Diversity Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 11.9 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics Source clinics	DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	100.0	-	100.0	0.0	
Diversity Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 29.1 29.1 Unfrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 Workload Cood coverage by legal services clinics	PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	8.3		2.6	31.6	
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019) 52.7 11.9 52.7 Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics Services clinics Services clinics	Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	0		0	100	
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019) 29.1 43.6 Infrastructure 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Korkload Services clinics Services clinics	Diversity					
Infrastructure DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Good coverage by legal services clinics.	Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	52.7		11.9	52.7	1
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019) 100 100 129 Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics	Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	29.1		29.1	43.6	
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18) 15.7 173.8 15.7 Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics	Infrastructure					
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18) 1.00 0.35 1.00 Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics	DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	100	•	100	129	
Workload Good coverage by legal services clinics	Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	15.7		173.8	15.7	1
Workload by legal	Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	1.00		0.35	1.00	
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18) 0 - 0 43	Workload					by legal
	PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	0		0	43	services clinics.
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) * 60.6 — 16.2 91.6	Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	60.6		16.2	91.6	
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) ** 0.0 - 0 18.0	SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	0.0	-	0	18.0	

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB). Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).



About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit **www.tatatrusts.org** for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives