

## Karnataka

Best (Ranks 1-6)

POLICE

Middle (Ranks 7-12)

Worst (Ranks 13-18)

## Rank among 18 large & mid-sized states

	IJR 1 (2019)	IJR 2 (2020)	IJR 3 (2022) NEW
Overall	6	14	1
Police	6	1	2
Prisons	3	14	2
Judiciary	16	12	2
Legal Aid	7	16	2

IJR 1 IJR 2 IJR 3						
6	1	2				

**READING THE DATA:** This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 17 other large and mid-sized states. The state's position in each indicator is also shown through 3 colour bands. The more the blue bands, the better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	Indicator	IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3	
	Budgets	Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Spend on police per person (Rs)	658	696	943	2020-21	2,055 (PB)	641 (BR)
<b>*</b> 2	Share of training budget in police budget (%)			1.23	2020-21	2.66 (TS)	0.00 (KL)
*3	Training budget utilization (%)			90.2	2020-21	100 (GJ/RJ)	53.5 (CH)
4	Spend on training per personnel (Rs)		5,520	8,031	2020-21	28,126 (TS)	305 (WB)
5	Modernisation fund used (%)	27	20	99	2020-21	100 (GJ)	24 (UP)
	Human Resources						
6	Constables, vacancy (%)	20.5	15.2	12.0	Jan 2022	4.6 (KL)	44.1 (WB)
7	Officers, vacancy (%)	14.2	19.2	10.8	Jan 2022	7.1 (TS)	53.8 (BR)
8	Officers in civil police (%)	16.7	13.7	17.2	Jan 2022	31.5 (WB)	9.5 (KL)
		10.7	10.7	17.2	Juli 2022		0.0 (1(2)
	Diversity						
9	Share of women in police (%)	5.4	8.28	8.6	Jan 2022	21.8 (AP)	6.2 (JH)
10	Share of women in officers (%)	4.0	6.9	6.4	Jan 2022	18.1 (UK)	2.4 (KL)
11	SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	96	126	116	Jan 2022	131 (GJ)	43 (UP)
12	SC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		127	116	Jan 2022	116 (KA)	63 (HR)
13	ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	143	186	176	Jan 2022	176 (KA)	0.2 (PB)
14	ST constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		178	169	Jan 2022	278 (BR)	0.01 (PB)
15	OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%)	103	164	148	Jan 2022	154 (PB)	34 (RJ)
16	OBC constables, actual to reserved ratio (%)		158	140	Jan 2022	200 (OD)	55 (WB)
	Infrastructure						
17	Population per police station (rural) (Number)	68,877	70,191	70,108	Jan 2022	25,010 (KL)	306,063 (WB)
18	Population per police station (urban) (Number)	60,892	97,034	100,510	Jan 2022	46,376 (OD)	288,788 (GJ)
19	Area per police station (rural) (Sq km)	342	345	345	Jan 2022	82 (KL)	684 (RJ)
20	Area per police station (urban) (Sq km)	15.5	20.7	20.5	Jan 2022	10.6 (TS)	74.5 (KL)
21	Services provided by state's citizen portals (%)		57.3	57.3	2022	91.0 (GJ)	21.6 (BR)
<b>*</b> 22	Personnel per training institute (Number)		7,471	7,905	Jan 2022	5,484 (TN)	38,382 (UP)
<b>*</b> 23	Police stations with CCTVs (%)			99.7	Jan 2022	100 (WB)	0.1 (RJ)
24	Police stations with women help desks (%)			75.8	Jan 2022	100 (WB)	34.9 (TN)
	Workload						
25	Population per civil police (Number)	742	915	823	Jan 2022	500 (PB)	1,695 (BR)
	Trends						
26	Women in total police (pp)	0.23	0.61	0.65	CY '17-'21	3.52 (AP)	- 0.19 (HR)
27	Women officers in total officers (pp)	0.24	0.16	0.48	CY '17-'21	1.83 (UK)	-0.35 (TN)
28	Constable vacancy (pp)	2.35	-3.28	-1.71	CY '17-'21	-5.40 (UP)	4.81 (MH)
29	Officer vacancy (pp)	0.24	-1.91	-0.68	CY '17-'21	-4.02 (UP)	3.42 (MH)
30	Difference in spend: police vs state (pp)	-0.42	-1.94	-0.54	FY '17-'21	6.01 (UP)	-5.47 (PB)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vi. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. vii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc.

Ρ	RISONS Rank among 18 large	& mid-sized st	ates			bage shows the state	•
•	IJR 1 IJR	2 IJR 3	3			ares, on each indicat sized states. The stat	0
	3 14	2		each indicato	or is also showr	n through 3 colour bo	inds. The more
	IJR 3 Score (out of 10)		6.01			Best value' and 'wors In that indicator.	t value' are the
Bes	st (Ranks 1-6) 📃 Middle (Ranks 7-12) 📃 Wa	rst (Ranks 13-18	;)				
	Indicator	IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3	
	Budgets	Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst valu
1	Spend per inmate (Rs)	28,229	30,424	38,454	2021-22	211,157 (AP)	6,112 (UI
2	Prison budget utilized (%)	97.2	75.6	98.9	2021-22	114.0 (TS)	74.0 (BI
	Human Resources						
3	Officers, vacancy (%)	25.7	7.8	14.5	Dec 2021	6.9 (KL)	77.1 (UI
4	Cadre staff, vacancy (%)	14.6	30.4	21.29	Dec 2021	9.8 (TN)	60.3 (Jł
5	Correctional staff, vacancy (%)	33.3	93.3	20.7	Dec 2021	0.0 (TS)	100.0 (HR/PI
6	Medical staff, vacancy (%)	56.1	73.4	61.3	Dec 2021	7.8 (TN)	66.8 (WI
7	Medical officers, vacancy (%)	61.1	77.8	66.7	Dec 2021	11.6 (TN)	90.0 (UI
8	Personnel trained (%)		23.9	155.1	Dec 2021	155.1 (KA)	0.2 (UI
	Diversity						
9	Women in prison staff (%)	18.7	26.2	32.0	Dec 2021	32.0 (KA)	3.6 (U
10	Infrastructure Prison occupancy (%)	107.9	101.4	100.6	Dec 2021	76.4 (TN)	185.0 (UI
11	Share of jails with 100%-plus occupancy (%)			48	2022	11 (TN)	90 (HI
12	Share of jails with 150%-plus occupancy (%)			22	2022	1 (TN)	77 (UI
13	Undertrials detained for 1-3 years (%)			20.4	Dec 2021	5.2 (AP)	27.5 (R
14	Inmates availed educational course (%) <sup>1</sup>			8.07	Dec 2021	21.52 (TS)	0.48 (PE
15 16	Inmates availed vocational training (%) <sup>1</sup> Jails with V-C facility (%)		31	2.88 68	Dec 2021 Dec 2021	7.67 (JH) 100 (HR/UK/WB)	0.14 (WI 57 (T
	Workload						
17	Inmates per officer (Number)	141	46	49	Dec 2021	21 (TN)	532 (U
18	Inmates per cadre staff (Number)	11.4	7.2	6.1	Dec 2021	4.2 (KL)	24.7 (Jł
19	Inmates per correctional staff (Number)	7,422	7,258	673	Dec 2021	198 (TN)	22,938 (F
20	Inmates per medical officer (Number)			1,719	Dec 2021	418 (AP)	6,921 (U
	Trends						
21	Officer vacancy (pp)	-0.38	-2.28	-2.24	CY '17-'21	-7.95 (TN)	2.30 (H
22	Cadre staff vacancy (pp)	-4.03	0.89	1.35	CY '17-'21	-6.91 (UK)	2.40 (H
23	Share of women in prison staff (pp)	0.74	0.74	2.65	CY '17-'21	3.26 (BR)	-0.61 (U
24	Inmates per prison officer (%)	3.56	-14.2	-15.0	CY '17-'21	-15.0 (KA)	23.0 (U
25	Inmates per cadre staff (%)	-1.3	-8.2	-9.2	CY '17-'21	-9.2 (KA)	8.8 (H
26	Share of undertrial prisoners (pp)	1.07	0.67	0.96	CY '17-'21	0.43 (JH)	3.75 (P
27	Spend per inmate (%)	12.5	5.6	6.8	FY '18-'22	116.9 (HR)	-10.6 (U
28	Prison budget used (pp)	0.95	-4.06	0.34	FY '18-'22	4.43 (TS)	-3.42 (U
29	Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp)	-1.25	-6.69	6.26	FY '17-'21	16.66 (GJ)	-11.99 (7

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc. State notes: 1. Inmates admitted during the year.

II	JDICIARY Rank among 18 large	& mid-sized st	ates			age shows the state	
1,1,		IJR	3	,		ares, on each indicat sized states. The stat	. 5
	16 12	2			0	through 3 colour bo	
	IJR 3 Score (out of 10)		6.79		-	Best value' and 'wors In that indicator.	st value' are the
Be	st (Ranks 1-6) Middle (Ranks 7-12) Wor	st (Ranks 13-18	3)				
	Indicator	IJR 1	IJR 2			IJR 3	
	Budgets	Value	Value	Value	Period	Best value	Worst value
1	Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs)	113	126	193	2020-21	270 (HR)	75 (WB)
	Human Resources						
2	Population per High Court judge (Number)	2,143,695	2,145,463	1,372,816	Dec 2022	933,333 (HR/PB)	3,674,088 (BR)
3	Population per sub. court judge (Number)	66,300	60,373	63,162	Jul 2022	42,502 (UK)	109,673 (AP)
4	High Court judge vacancy (%)	54.0	50.4	21.0	Dec 2022	16.0 (JH)	48.0 (RJ)
5	Sub. court judge vacancy (%)	29.0	16.3	21.9	Jul 2022	8.9 (CH)	39.0 (HR)
6	High Court staff vacancy (%)	14.9	14.3	26.0	2021-22	11.3 (MH)	52.8 (BR)
	Diversity						
7	Women judges (High Court) (%)	10.3	10.9	10.2	Dec 2022	27.3 (TS)	0.0 (BR/UK)
8	Women judges (sub. court) (%)	28.2	30.7	33.6	Jul 2022	52.8 (TS)	19.5 (GJ)
<b>*</b> 9	SC judges, actual to reserved (sub. court) (%)			85	Jul 2022	128 (CH)	0 (WB)
<b>*</b> 10	ST judges, actual to reserved (sub. court) (%)			66	Jul 2022	125 (UK)	0 (OD/PB/WB) 0 (WB)
	Infrastructure						
12	Courthall shortfall (%)	23.6	15.8	14.3	Aug 2022	-7.3 (MH)	27.7 (HR)
	Workload						
*13	Cases pending (5-10 years) (High Court) (%)			21.81	Jan 2023	18.62 (TN)	36.90 (KL)
★14	Cases pending (10+ years) (High Court) (%)			18.95	Jan 2023	6.46 (CH)	40.07 (UP)
15	Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%)	11.61	10.90	16.51	Jan 2023	5.78 (PB)	28.64 (WB)
16 17	Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%)	2.29	2.54	3.09 5.23	Jan 2023 Feb 2022	0.24 (HR)	19.73 (WB) 11.34 (UP)
17	Average High Court pendency (Years) Case clearance rate (High Court) (%)	4.1	82	82	2022	3.58 (UK) 156 (KL)	65 (RJ)
19	Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%)	93	95	95	2022	117 (GJ)	72 (UP)
19		33	35		2022	117 (GJ)	72 (OF)
	Trends						
20	Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%)	15.3	16.9	-5.4	CY '18-'22	-15.5 (TS)	31.3 (RJ)
21	Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%)	0.5	-3.6	3.6	CY '18-'22	-0.01 (JH)	19.4 (HR)
22	Total cases pending (High Court) (%)	7.8	12.8	3.3	CY '18-'22	-1.0 (WB)	20.8 (RJ)
23	Total cases pending (sub. court) (%)	3.6	4.5	5.2	CY '18-'22	0.9 (GJ)	18.3 (HR)
24	Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp)	6.71	4.58	-5.65	CY '18-'22	-12.01 (AP)	3.37 (RJ)
25	Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp)	2.30	-3.26	-0.64	CY '18-'22	-4.80 (JH)	3.18 (HR)
26	Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp)	-3.03	-1.14	2.72	CY '18-'22	13.56 (KL)	-5.78 (RJ)
27 28	Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp) Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp)	-1.87 -6.45	0.18	0.18 8.24	CY '18-'22 FY '17-'21	5.12 (KL) 8.24 (KA)	-13.67 (WB) -5.20 (TS)
20		-0.45	-4.91	0.24	11 1/- 21	0.24 (NA)	-5.20 (15)

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. Sub. court: subordinate court. iii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iv. NA: Not available. v. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. vi. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. vii. States and UTs that share a High Court have been assigned identical values for High Court indicators. These are Assam, Arunachal Pradesh Mizoram and Nagaland; Kerala and Lakshadweep; Maharashtra, Goa, D&N Haveli & Daman & Diu; Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh; Tamil Nadu and Puducherry; West Bengal and Andaman & Nicobar Islands; Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh. viii. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc. ix. Separate data for Andhra Pradesh and Telangana was not available for all 5 years considered for trend indicators. Hence, a 3-year trend has been computed for them for IJR 3.

Best (Ranks 1-6)     Middle (Ranks 7-12)     Worst (Ranks 13-18)       Indicator     IJR 1     IJR 2     IJR 3       Budgets     Value     Value     Value     Period     Best value       1     State's share in legal aid budget (%)     44.7     49.2     76.7     2021-22     96.1 (UP)       *2     State legal aid budget utilized (%)       99.97     2020-21     99.97 (KA)       3     NALSA fund utilized (%)     76.8     88.7     68.5     2021-22     99.9 (H)       Human Resources       99.97     2020-21     99.97 (KA)       4     DLSA secretary vacancy (%)     0.0     0.0     0.0     Mar 2022     Multiplet       5     PLVs per lakh population (Number)     4.9     3.2     6.3     Jun 2022     6.3 (KA)       6     Sanctioned secretarise as % of DLSAs (%)     100     100     Mar 2022     102 (MP)       7     Share of women in panel lawyers (%)     29.9     28.3     38.8     Jun 2022     62.6 (KL)       9     DLSAs as	<b>READING THE DATA:</b> This page shows the state's performance over 3 IJRs and how it compares, on each indicator, against the 17 other large and mid-sized states. The state's position in each indicator is also shown through 3 colour bands. The more the blue bands, the better. 'Best value' and 'worst value' are the highest and lowest results in that indicator.					IJR 3	Rank among 18 large & r     IJR 1   IJR 2     7   16     IJR 3 Score (out of 10)	
Indicator   IJR 1   IJR 2   IJR 3     Budgets   Value   Value   Value   Value   Period   Best value     1   State's share in legal aid budget (%)   44.7   49.2   76.7   2021-22   96.1 (UP)     ★2   State legal aid budget utilized (%)     99.97   2020-21   99.97 (KA)     3   NALSA fund utilized (%)   76.8   88.7   68.5   2021-22   99.9 (JH)     4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%				ingineer and i				
Budgets   Value   <					)	Ranks 13-18	anks 1-6) 🛛 Middle (Ranks 7-12) 🔂 Worst (	Best (R
1   State's share in legal aid budget (%)   44.7   49.2   76.7   2021-22   96.1 (UP)     ★ 2   State legal aid budget utilized (%)     99.97   2020-21   99.97 (KA)     3   NALSA fund utilized (%)   76.8   88.7   68.5   2021-22   99.9 (H)     4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)		IJR 3			IJR 2	IJR 1	dicator	In
★2   State legal aid budget utilized (%)     99.97   2020-21   99.97 (KA)     3   NALSA fund utilized (%)   76.8   88.7   68.5   2021-22   99.9 (JH)     4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)	Worst value	Best value	Period	Value	Value	Value	dgets	В
3   NALSA fund utilized (%)   76.8   88.7   68.5   2021-22   99.9 (JH)     4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	62.6 (WB)	96.1 (UP)	2021-22	76.7	49.2	44.7	ate's share in legal aid budget (%)	1 St
Human Resources   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple4     4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	35 (UP)	99.97 (KA)	2020-21	99.97			ate legal aid budget utilized (%)	★2 St
4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple 4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	50.0 (OD)	99.9 (JH)	2021-22	68.5	88.7	76.8	ALSA fund utilized (%)	3 N.
4   DLSA secretary vacancy (%)   0.0   0.0   0.0   Mar 2022   Multiple 4     5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)								
5   PLVs per lakh population (Number)   4.9   3.2   6.3   Jun 2022   6.3 (KA)     6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	21.4 (145)		14 2022	0.0	0.0	0.0		
6   Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   102 (MP)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	31.4 (MP)							
Diversity   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	1.2 (UP)		3					
7   Share of women in panel lawyers (%)   29.9   28.3   38.8   Jun 2022   42.4 (KL)     8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	86 (WB)	102 (MP)	Mar 2022	100	100	100	nctioned secretaries as % of DLSAS (%)	6 50
8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)							versity	D
8   Women PLVs (%)   45.4   48.4   58.4   Jun 2022   62.6 (KL)     9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     *10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	8.6 (RJ)	42.4 (KL)	Jun 2022	38.8	28.3	29.9	are of women in panel lawyers (%)	7 Sł
9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     ★10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)	24.9 (UP)	62.6 (KL)		58.4	48.4	45.4		
9   DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%)   100   100   Mar 2022   110 (TS)     ★10   Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)     100   Jun 2022   109 (CH)								
★10     Presence of front offices in DLSAs (%)       100     Jun 2022     109 (CH)								
	96 (UP)			100	100	100		
11 Lead services clinic per jail (Number) 0.62 0.58 0.91 2021-22 1.81 (GN	100 (Others)	109 (CH)	-					
	0.61 (BR)	1.81 (GJ)	2021-22	0.91	0.58	0.62	gal services clinic per jail (Number)	11 Le
12 Villages per legal services clinic (Number) 186.4 180.2 174.5 2021-22 2.8 (KL)	19,567 (CH)	2.8 (KL)	2021-22	174.5	180.2	186.4	lages per legal services clinic (Number)	12   Vi
Workload							orkload	W
13 PLA cases: settled as % of received (%) 50.4 34.0 39.9 2021-22 99.7 (GJ)	0.0 (WB)	99.7 (GJ)	2021-22	39.9	34.0	50.4	A cases: settled as % of received (%)	13 PI
	6.6 (KA)	93.2 (MH)	2021-22	6.6	7.8	17.3		
15     SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%)     1.9     1.8     0.4     2021-22     76.1 (JH)	0.0 (HR/OD)	76.1 (JH)	2021-22	0.4	1.8	1.9	SA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%)	15 SI

General notes: i. Star sign (\*) before indicator number represents new indicators in IJR 3. ii. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). iii. NA: Not available. iv. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. v. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority. vi. In 'best value' and 'worst value' columns, state names have been abbreviated i.e BR for Bihar, GJ for Gujarat etc.

State notes: 1. 13 states have the best value, namely AP/BR/CH/HR/JH/KA/KL/MH/OD/PB/RJ/UK/WB.

Data sources

Police: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; Digital Police Portal, Ministry of Home Affairs; National Commission on Population, 2019; Census 2011.

**Prisons:** Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; e-Prisons portal. Judiciary: Annual Reports, Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Finance Division of Ministry of Home Affairs; Open Budgets India; National Commission on Population, 2019; Department of Justice; Parliamentary Questions; eCourt India Services; DAKSH High Court database.

Legal aid: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); National Commission on Population, 2019; States' Budget Documents; Open Budgets India.

## About India Justice Report 2022



The India Justice Report (IJR) 2022 is a collaborative effort undertaken in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas. First published in 2019, the third edition of the IJR adds an assessment of the capacity of State Human Rights Commissions. It continues to track improvements and persisting deficits in each state's structural and financial capacity to deliver justice based on quantitative measurements of budgets, human resources, infrastructure, workload, and diversity across police, judiciary, prisons and legal aid for all 36 states and UTs.



Visit <u>https://indiajusticereport.org</u> for the main report, data explorer and more. Email ID: <u>indiajusticereport@gmail.com</u> Phone No.: 9717676026 / 7837144403 Factsheet design: How India Lives

Supported by:







TATA TRUSTS



Cyrus Guzder

Fiona & Louis Miranda