Daman and Diu^{*}



* Not part of India Justice Report ranking 2019

POLICE

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the union territory's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	r Highest
Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	NA		NA	80	constabulary
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16) Human Resources	219		166	3,283	vacancy among UTs. Nearly 1 in 4 constable posts vacant.
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	23.3	-	23.3	-6.3	
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	22.5		65.6	8.6	
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	14.3	——	6.5	17.8	

Diversity

				,	- VVa
Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017)	12.0		7.2	18.0	me
Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017)	14.5		3.1	22.7	SC
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	588	•	22	588	qu
ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	65		26	222	exc
OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	76		0	91	rep in t

Infrastructure

Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)NAPopulation per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)36,570Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)NAArea per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)11

А	 183,114	884
570	 160,595	25,841
А	 445	1

46

5

Was unable to meet its gender, SC and OBC quotas, but exceeded SC representation in the police by the largest national margin. Its reservation for SCs was 3%.

Over 5 years, largest improvement in representation of women in police, and increase in share of women officers amongst all UTs.

Workload				
Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017)	633		1,017	106
Trends				
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.62		0.16	1.62
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.91		-0.38	2.91
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.64	——	2.91	-4.51
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-1.21		6.18	-3.38
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	11.92	•	-3.63	11.92

Data sources: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India. Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. 7. Modernisation fund used:

Neither contribution nor utilisation data was available. 8. Population/area per police station (rural): BPR&D shows 0 rural police stations. 9. Modernisation and data is available for only one union territory (Puducherry). That available value is taken as the 'best value' and no 'worst value' or score has been assigned for the indicator.

PRISONS

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the union territory's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	67,797		0	67,797	– No prison
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	100		0	100	officer
Human Resources					vacancies in either of its 2 jails. Over 5 years, this
	0.0		55.5	0.0	UT had no
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	7.7		48.2	0.0	vacancies in
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		48.2 NA	75.9	sanctioned officers.
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		39.2		officers.
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016) Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		51.5	0.0 0.0	
Diversity					
Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	5.9	-	5.7	15.2	
Infrastructure Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	37	•	200	11	Poor share of women in prison staff.
Workload	70		10.2	70	
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	30 5		192	30	
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	5		13	2	
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		NA	2,008	Amongst UTs, Daman and Diu had the lowest workload per
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.00	—	7.54	-5.51	prison officer.
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-3.08	•	4.50	-3.08	
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.16	•	-0.17	0.65	
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	27.6	•	27.6	-25.8	
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	19.4	•	19.4	-25.4	
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.17		5.29	-4.17	
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	30.4	•	-86.1	30.4	
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.00	•	-7.80	0.37	
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	33.1	•	-7.1	35.8	

Data sources: Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Dec 2016' is as of December 31, 2016. 2. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 3. NA: Not available. 4. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 5. Correctional staff, vacancy; inmates per correctional staff: PSI data shows 0 correctional staff. 6. Medical staff/officers, vacancy: PSI data shows 0 medical staff/officers. 7. Correctional staff data is available for only one union territory (Delhi). That available value is taken as the 'best value' and no 'worst value' or score has been assigned for the two correctional staff indicators.

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the union territory's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	NA		125	453	Vacancy levels
					in both High Court and
Human Resources					subordinate
Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	1,838,070		2,380,693	472,900	court judges exceeded 20%.
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	106,719	•	106,719	23,445	
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	33.8		46.5	26.1	
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	21.4		49.0	0.0	
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	18.1		31.1	5.5	
Diversity					
Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	12.7	-	12.2	20.5	r About 21%
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	25.0	——	0.0	41.7	of cases in
					subordinate
Infrastructure					courts have
					been pending for more than
Courthall shortfall (%, 2016-17, Mar 2018)	NA		39.0	0.0	5 years.
Workload					
Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	14.20		19.10	1.80	
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	6.74		8.18	0.15	
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	2.9		3.7	2.5	
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	8.4	•	8.4	3.9	Amongst
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)	87		81	101	UTs, despite
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)	110	•	87	110	subordinate courts having
					the highest
- 1					case clearance
Trends					rate, cases
Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	-5.7		4.3	-6.8	remained pending on
Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	2.2	—	25.0	-14.1	average for 8.4
Total cases pending (High Court) (%, FY '13-'17)	-4.9		5.5	-8.4	years.
Total cases pending (sub. court) (%, FY '13-'17)	1.0		12.3	-8.0	
Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	2.82		3.53	1.00	
Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.00		2.81	-5.00	
Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-1.40		-3.53	3.50	
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-3.05		-7.35	7.81	
Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	NA		-0.02	3.10	

Data sources: Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; eCourts Services; Websites of High Courts; Approaches to Justice in India: A Report by DAKSH; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Application under Right to Information (RTI) Act filed by Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy; Open Budgets India; Department of Justice.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Aug 2018' is as of August 23, 2018; for 'Sep 2017' is as of September 19, 2017; for 'Aug 2017' is as of August 29, 2017. 2. Sub. court: subordinate court. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Since Maharashtra, Goa, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, and Daman & Diu share a High Court, they have been assigned the same values for High Court indicators. 7. Per capita spend on judiciary; difference in spend: Data on judiciary expenditure was neither available in the CAG reports used nor in Ministry of Home Affairs, Demand Number 90. 8. Courthall shortfall: Indicator not used as Court News aggregated data on sanctioned number of subordinate court judges for Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu. 9. The raw data given for Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu was clubbed for 7 subordinate court indicators: population per judge; judge vacancy (2016-17 and 5-year trend); case clearance rate (2016-17 and 5-year trend); case sending; total cases pending; total cases pending; Udge vacancy. Hence, their values for these 7 indicators are the same.

JUDICIARY



LEGALAID **HOW TO READ THE DATA** of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	4		4	68	
State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	0		0	49	
Human Resources DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	100.0		100.0	0.0	Of the 29 panel lawyers appointed, 7 are women. This
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	24.3		0.9	69.8	was the lowest representation
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	0		0.5	100	of women
Diversity	0		0	100	panel lawyers amongst UTs.
-		-			
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	24.1		24.1	50.0	1
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	67.8		41.4	67.8	
Infrastructure					
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	100		0	100	Daman and
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	3.2		5.4	0.0	Diu has 1 legal
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	0.50		0.00	1.42	services clinic catering to 2 of its sub-jails.
Workload					_
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	0	-	0	121	
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	41.4		3.4	100.0	
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	0.0	-	0.0	86.5	

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB). Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).

INDIA JUSTICE REPO

About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit www.tatatrusts.org for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives