Dadra & Nagar Haveli *

* Not part of India Justice Report ranking 2019

POLICE

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the union territory's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	NA		NA	80
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	326		166	3,283

Human Resources				
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	1.9		23.3	-6.3
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	31.3		65.6	8.6
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	6.5		6.5	17.8

Diversity				
Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017)	14.8		7.2	18.0
Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017)	22.7		3.1	22.7
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	22		22	588
ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	222		26	222
OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	0		0	91

Mixed performance in meeting diversity quotas: despite reservation, has no OBC representation. Nationally, exceeded ST representation in officers by the largest margin.

Infrastructure				
Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	183,114		183,114	884
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	160,595		160,595	25,841
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	445		445	1
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	46		46	5

Compared to other UTs, on average, its police stations are covering a greater area and larger population.

Workload				
Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017)	1,017		1,017	106

Trends				
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.10		0.16	1.62
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.05		-0.38	2.91
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-2.10		2.91	-4.51
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	-3.38		6.18	-3.38
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-1.67		-3.63	11.92

Over 5 years, largest reduction in vacancies at the officer level, amongst UTs.

Data sources: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. 7. Modernisation fund used: Neither contribution nor utilisation data was available. 8. Modernisation grant data is available for only one union territory (Puducherry). That available value is taken as the 'best value' and no 'worst value' or score has been assigned for the indicator.

PRISONS

Budgets

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	16,667		0	67,797
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	100		0	100

The UT was able to utilise its entire allocated prison budget.

Human Resources

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		55.5	0.0
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		48.2	0.0
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		NA	75.9
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		39.2	0.0
Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	NA		51.5	0.0

Diversity

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	NA		5.7	15.2

Infrastructure

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	200		200	11

Most overcrowded prison in the country. Over 5 years, occupancy rate has increased from 62% to 200%. An increase of more than 3 times.

Workload

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		192	30
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		13	2
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		NA	2,008

Trends

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	NA		7.54	-5.51
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	NA		4.50	-3.08
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	NA		-0.17	0.65
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	NA		27.6	-25.8
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	NA		19.4	-25.4
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.00		5.29	-4.17
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	5.4		-86.1	30.4
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.00		-7.80	0.37
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	35.8		-7.1	35.8

Amongst UTs, largest increase in pillar spend to UT spend.

Supported by
TATA TRUSTS

JUDICIARY

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	NA		125	453

Human Resources

	State value	State score	Worst value	Best value
Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	1,838,070		2,380,693	472,900
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	106,719		106,719	23,445
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	33.8		46.5	26.1
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	21.4		49.0	0.0
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	18.1		31.1	5.5

Astonishingly, not a single woman among lower court judges.

Diversity

	State value	State score	Worst value	Best value
Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	12.7		12.2	20.5
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	0.0		0.0	41.7

Infrastructure

	State value	State score	Worst value	Best value
Courthall shortfall (%, 2016-17, Mar 2018)	NA		39.0	0.0

About 21% of its cases in subordinate courts have been pending for more than 5 years.

Workload

	State value	State score	Worst value	Best value
Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	14.20		19.10	1.80
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	6.74		8.18	0.15
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	2.9		3.7	2.5
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	8.4		8.4	3.9
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)	87		81	101
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)	110		87	110

Trends

	State value	State score	Worst value	Best value
Cases pending (per High Court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	-5.7		4.3	-6.8
Cases pending (per sub. court judge) (%, FY '13-'17)	2.2		25.0	-14.1
Total cases pending (High Court) (%, FY '13-'17)	-4.9		5.5	-8.4
Total cases pending (sub. court) (%, FY '13-'17)	1.0		12.3	-8.0
Judge vacancy (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	2.82		3.53	1.00
Judge vacancy (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	0.00		2.81	-5.00
Case clearance rate (High Court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-1.40		-3.53	3.50
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (pp, FY '13-'17)	-3.05		-7.35	7.81
Difference in spend: judiciary vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	NA		-0.02	3.10

Despite the highest lower court case clearance rate amongst UTs, cases lay pending in subordinate courts for 8.4 years on average.

Supported by
TATA TRUSTS

LEGAL AID

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the union territory's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the UT compares, on each indicator, against the other 6 UTs. The longer the lines, the better the UT is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value
Budgets				
NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	4		4	68
State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	0		0	49
Human Resources				
DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	100.0		100.0	0.0
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	0.9		0.9	69.8
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	0		0	100
Diversity				
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	50.0		24.1	50.0
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	66.7		41.4	67.8
Infrastructure				
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	100		0	100
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	5.4		5.4	0.0
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	1.00		0.00	1.42
Workload				
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	0		0	121
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	17.9		3.4	100.0
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	0.0		0.0	86.5

Highest representation of female legal aid providers in cluster.

Good legal services clinic coverage across jails and clusters of villages.

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB).
Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority.
Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).

About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit **www.tatatrusts.org** for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives