Assam*

* Not part of India Justice Report ranking 2019





POLICE

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the othe 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Modernisation fund used (%, 2016-17)	NA		45	100	
Spend on police per person (Rs, 2015-16)	788	•	788	5,933	1 in 5 constable positions
Human Resources					were vacant.
Constables, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	20.5		38.2	-12.5	J
Officers, vacancy (%, Jan 2017)	16.4		25.7	6.1	
Officers in civil police (%, Jan 2017)	19.2		10.0	22.3	
Diversity					
Share of women in police (%, Jan 2017)	5.5		3.0	8.1	The state
Share of women in officers (%, Jan 2017)	3.4		2.4	7.7	had a mixed
SC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)	98		45	133	performance in meeting

Infrastructure

ST officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)

OBC officers, actual to reserved ratio (%, Jan 2017)

Population per civil police (persons, Jan 2017) 1,122

Population per police station (rural) (Jan 2017)	132,708	•	132,708	24,694
Population per police station (urban) (Jan 2017)	33,322		37,728	28,548
Area per police station (rural) (sq km, Jan 2017)	382		1,842	287
Area per police station (urban) (sq km, Jan 2017)	10		14	7

74

108

had a mixed performance in meeting diversity quotas: exceeded OBC representation, however very poor share of women in police.

74

108

46

1.122

Workload

Trends				
Women in total police (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.87		0.01	1.07
Women officers in total officers (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.34		-0.36	0.34
Constable vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.22	•	2.22	-2.51
Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.21	•	1.21	-3.26
Difference in spend: police vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-1.08		-1.69	4.25

Over 5 years, the share of women in police, and share of women officers increased.

Data sources: Data on Police Organizations, Bureau of Police Research and Development (BPR&D); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India. Notes: 1. Data for 'Jan 2017' is as of January 1, 2017. 2. SC: Scheduled castes; ST: Scheduled tribes; OBC: Other backward classes. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Civil police includes district armed reserve police. 7. Modernisation fund used: State contribution data was not available.



PRISONS

HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Spend per inmate (Rs, 2016-17)	29,729	-	27,902	70,218	_ All sanctioned
Prison budget utilised (%, 2016-17)	86		75	99	correctional
Human Resources					staff positions remained vacant. No correctional officer for 8,611
Officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	22.9		53.2	5.0	inmates.
Cadre staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	19.0		29.7	0.2	
Correctional staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	100.0	•	100.0	0.0	
Medical staff, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	34.2	•	34.2	-1.6	
Medical officers, vacancy (%, Dec 2016)	61.3	•	61.3	0.0	
Diversity					
Women in prison staff (%, Dec 2016)	12.8	—	9.2	22.9	
Infrastructure					Prisons occupied to full capacity. Marginal
Prison occupancy (%, Dec 2016)	100	•	100	28	decline in UTP
Workload					population over 5 years.
Inmates per officer (persons, Dec 2016)	69	-	79	11	
Inmates per cadre staff (persons, Dec 2016)	13	•	13	1	
Inmates per correctional staff (persons, Dec 2016)	NA		896	28	

Trends

Officer vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	2.77	•	2.77	0.00	1
Cadre staff vacancy (pp, CY '12-'16)	1.66	•	1.66	0.00	
Share of women in prison staff (pp, CY '12-'16)	0.04		-0.16	0.38	- Ov
Inmates per prison officer (%, CY '12-'16)	9.1	-	10.8	-3.3	off
Inmates per cadre staff (%, CY '12-'16)	3.5		7.4	-5.0	cad
Share of undertrial prisoners (pp, CY '12-'16)	-0.26		2.73	-1.29	vac
Spend per inmate (%, FY '13-'17)	10.2		5.6	28.0	inc
Prison budget used (pp, FY '13-'17)	-0.11		-1.82	-0.10	
Difference in spend: prisons vs state (pp, FY '12-'16)	-21.3	•	-21.3	3.4	

Over 5 years, officer and cadre staff vacancies increased.

Data sources: Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB); Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Open Budgets India.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Dec 2016' is as of December 31, 2016. 2. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 3. NA: Not available. 4. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 5. Inmates per correctional staff: PSI data shows 0 for actual correctional staff.



HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
Per capita spend on judiciary (Rs, 2015-16)	61	•	61	144	

Human Resources

Population per High Court judge (2016-17)	2,338,689	2,338,689	878,706
Population per sub. court judge (2016-17)	100,098	100,098	57,397
High Court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	36.5	44.1	35.0
Sub. court judge vacancy (%, 2016-17)	26.5	26.5	11.2
High Court staff vacancy (%, 2016-17)	14.8	15.3	13.4

Nearly 1 in 4 subordinate court judge positions were vacant.

Diversity

Women judges (High Court) (%, Jun 2018)	5.9	——	0.0	5.9
Women judges (sub. court) (%, Jul 2017)	37.9	-	18.6	37.9

Infrastructure

Courthall shortfall (%, 2016-17, Mar 2018)	18.9	— 18.9 0.0

At just 5.9%, has the best representation of women judges in High Courts in this cluster.

Workload

Cases pending (5-10 years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	9.46		16.57	6.78
Cases pending (10+ years) (sub. court) (%, Aug 2018)	1.39		4.14	1.39
Average High Court pendency (years, Sep 2017)	2.0	-	2.2	1.1
Average sub. court pendency (years, Aug 2017)	3.9		6.9	3.9
Case clearance rate (High Court) (%, 2016-17)	82	•	82	99
Case clearance rate (sub. court) (%, 2016-17)	94		89	102

Trends

-4.4	•	-4.4	-14.0	
-4.0		-0.2	-10.2	
-8.8		-4.6	-8.8	
0.2	•	0.5	-6.5	
3.54	•	3.54	-3.75	
-0.29		0.71	-1.62	
-4.16		-9.26	4.41	
-0.21	-	-7.91	1.56	
-2.74	•	-2.74	19.80	
	-4.0 -8.8 0.2 3.54 -0.29 -4.16 -0.21	-4.0 -8.8 0.2 3.54 -0.29 -4.16 -0.21	-4.0	-4.0 -0.2 -10.2 -8.8 -4.6 -8.8 0.2 0.5 -6.5 3.54 -3.75 -0.29 0.71 -1.62 -4.16 -9.26 4.41 -0.21 -7.91 1.56

Over 5 years, a significant reduction of total cases pending at the High Court level.

Data sources: Court News, Supreme Court of India; National Judicial Data Grid; eCourts Services; Websites of High Courts; Approaches to Justice in India: A Report by DAKSH; Combined Finance and Revenue Accounts of the Union and State Governments in India, Comptroller and Auditor General of India; Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Application under Right to Information (RTI) Act filed by Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy; Open Budgets India; Department of Justice.

Notes: 1. Data for 'Aug 2018' is as of August 23, 2018; for 'Sep 2017' is as of September 19, 2017; for 'Aug 2017' is as of August 29, 2017. 2. Sub. court: subordinate court. 3. pp: percentage points (the difference between two percentages). 4. NA: Not available. 5. CY: Calendar year; FY: Financial year. 6. Since Assam, Mizoram, Nagaland and Arunachal Pradesh share a High Court, they have been assigned the same values for High Court indicators.



HOW TO READ THE DATA: Since each indicator has a different unit, to enable comparison, we rebased values to score the state's performance in a band of 1 to 10. The line graphs show how the state compares, on each indicator, against the other 3 conflict states. The longer the lines, the better the state is doing. 'Worst value' and 'best value' point to the highest and lowest results in that indicator.

Budgets	State value	State score (out of 10)	Worst value	Best value	
NALSA fund utilised (%, 2017-18)	77		69	95	
State's share in legal aid spend (%, 2017-18)	0	-	0	58	
Human Resources					Unable to completely utilise NALSA
DLSA secretary vacancy (%, 2019)	0.0		100.0	0.0	funds.
PLVs per lakh population (number, Jan 2019)	2.6	-	2.6	31.6	
Sanctioned secretaries as % of DLSAs (%, 2019)	67		0	100	
Diversity					
Women panel lawyers (%, Jan 2019)	34.5		11.9	52.7	
Women PLVs (%, Jan 2019)	40.2		29.1	43.6	
Infrastructure					Permanent Lok Adalats were able to settle
DLSAs as % of state judicial districts (%, 2019)	122		100	129	43% of public
Villages per legal services clinic (number, 2017-18)	173.8	•	173.8	15.7	utilities cases
Legal services clinic per jail (number, 2017-18)	0.35	-	0.35	1.00	they received in 2017-18.
Workload					2017-16.
PLA cases: settled as % of received (%, 2017-18)	43		0	43	
Total LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed (%, 2017-18) *	16.2	-	16.2	91.6	
SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation in cases taken up (%, 2017-18) **	1.8		0	18.0	
			-		

Data sources: National Legal Services Authority (NALSA); Primary Census Abstract, Census 2011; Prison Statistics India (PSI), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB).

Notes: 1. DLSA: District Legal Services Authority; LA: Lok Adalat; PLA: Permanent Lok Adalat; PLV: Para-Legal Volunteer; SLSA: State Legal Services Authority.

Full indicators: * NLAs + SLSA LAs: Share of pre-litigation cases in disposed cases (%, 2017-18); ** SLSA LAs: Pre-litigation cases disposed as % of total cases taken up (%, 2017-18).



About India Justice Report

The India Justice Report 2019 provides the first comprehensive quantitative index that ranks the capacity of the formal justice system operating in various states on their police, prisons, judiciary and legal aid. This ranking was supported and facilitated by Tata Trusts in partnership with DAKSH, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, Common Cause, Centre for Social Justice, Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and TISS-Prayas.

Visit **www.tatatrusts.org** for the main report, ranking and methodology, data visualisations, related research and more.

Data and design: How India Lives